

**NOTE: THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTATION  
WAS SUBMITTED FOR THE RECORD BEFORE  
OR AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING  
ON THIS ITEM WHICH IS NOW APPEARING  
BEFORE THE CITY COUNCIL**

Renee Poole  
1422 Western Avenue  
Las Vegas, NV 89102

September 6, 2006

To Whom It May Concern:

I own the property at 1422 Western and am not opposed to the widening of Western Avenue, or any freeway fly overs as long as egress is maintained on all streets leading to the entrance of my business at the same address.

Thank you,

Renee Poole

Letter Faxed as I may not be able to attend the meeting

ITEM # 12  
CASE # MSH-13509  
PC MTG 9-7-06

A

Michael Puorro, President  
2424 Western Realty, Inc.  
2424 Western Avenue  
Las Vegas, NV 89102-4816  
Cellular (702) 250-6338

July 17, 2006

**VIA FACSIMILE (702) 385-7268**

Flinn Fagg, Manager  
Planning and Development Department  
Current Planning Division  
400 Stewart Ave.  
Las Vegas, NV

RECEIVED  
JUL 17 2006

**RE: OBJECTION TO MSH-13509**

Dear Mr. Fagg:

This is in response to your Notice of Public Hearing (attached hereto) regarding the above-referenced proposed amendments to the City's Master Plan of Streets and Highways. I wish to oppose that amendment specially as it relates to my property and business located at **2424 Western Avenue**. Pursuant to the proposed MSH-13509, the City is requesting to reclassify Western Avenue from a 60-foot minor collector to an 80-foot secondary road. I do not see how widening this portion of Western Avenue will benefit the community. I do see how such an amendment would adversely effect many of the property and business owners located on Western Avenue between Sahara and Charleston Avenues.

Specifically, the parking lot of my property fronts Western Avenue. If the road is widened, it is possible that I will loose my business' main customer parking area. If I loose the customer parking, then I will be unable to operate my business at 2424 Western Avenue. I am not the only property/business owner that will be affected adversely if the proposed amendment is approved. Many of the property owners have buildings, as well as parking areas, that front Western. A loss of 10 to 20 feet as recommended in MSH-13509 to accommodate the road widening would result in the loss of some of those buildings and the parking areas as well. Therefore, this letter serves as my written objection to the City's request to amend the Master Plan regarding widening Western Avenue. I am planning on attending the Public Hearing on July 27, 2006. Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

*Michael Puorro*

Michael Puorro, President  
2424 Western Realty, Inc.

Attachment

cc: Honorable Mayor Oscar B. Goodman  
Councilwoman Lois Tarkanian &  
Members of Las Vegas City Council  
Via Facsimile (702) 382-8558



1801 GRANITE AVENUE  
LAS VEGAS NV 89106  
PHONE: (702) 385-7654  
EMAIL: TIPPY2D@AOL.COM

September 6, 2008

Chairman and Members of the Planning Commission of the City of Las Vegas

**Re: Amendments to the Master Streets Plan, specifically regarding Shadow Lane & Beardon Ave.**

Dear Sirs:

- 1.) The Rancho Manor Neighborhood Association would like to thank the Commission, especially Chairman Trowbridge and Vice-Chairman Evans for holding this item when first scheduled and allowing time for this Association, as well as other parties, to consult the City Engineer regarding these plans.
- 2.) We would like to thank the City Engineer, Jorge Cervantes, for his courteous and useful consultation.
- 3.) We remain opposed to this amendment as written, specifically reclassifying Shadow Lane from a 60 foot minor collector to an 80-foot secondary collectors from Charleston Blvd. to Alta Drive.
- 4.) We have no objection per se in the widening of Beardon Avenue and its connection to the proposed Martin Luther King arterial. This may be the only practical way to connect West Charleston and MLK Blvd. It may also serve the staff, clients and service traffic of the Medical District. However, it does impose a traffic burden on Shadow Lane which is not met simply by widening.
- 5.) We believe that it is a serious mistake to allow or even encourage through traffic on Shadow Lane which already is often congested with slow moving visitor and patient traffic to hospitals and clinics, heavy pedestrian usage, frequent public transportation use as well as neighborhood through traffic from Charleston to Alta. Impatient, short-cutting drivers should not be encouraged here.
- 6.) If the Beardon Avenue connection is to be made, we would support the following, "if approved" conditions.
  - 1.) Shadow Lane should be widened only between Beardon Avenue and Charleston Blvd., to facilitate this connecting traffic.
  - 2.) Shadow Lane should be blocked completely at Alta Drive, or open only for buses. This will eliminate the cut-through traffic between Alta and Charleston, and improve conditions in the vicinity of Valley Hospital and the District Health Department, as well as eliminating one source of cut through traffic on Shadow Lane north of Alta through the Rancho Manor neighborhood.
  - 3.) In addition to S. Tonopah Drive, access to and egress from the Medical District could be provided at Rose Street. Signalizing Rose and Shadow might be easier, as they would both be "T" intersections, than signalizing Shadow and Alta, at present, as a through intersection.
  - 4.) The Beardon Avenue connection to the proposed MLK arterial should be designed to facilitate Medical District traffic. This includes improving the flow from Goldring to Beardon.
- 7.) Let's keep in mind the needs of the neighborhood, the medical facilities, the pedestrians and public transportation users and so on, as well as the needs of the through traffic.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

  
Daniel J Deegan

Submitted at Planning Commission

Date 9/7/06 Item #12